

CI FRK'S OFFICE

SEP 1 2 2003

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lisa Madigan

September 9, 2003

PCB 01-167

The Honorable Dorothy Gunn Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center, Ste. 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601

Re: People v. Village of Enfield

Dear Clerk Gunn:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and ten copies of a NOTICE OF FILING, COMPLAINT, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT in regard to the above-captioned matter. Please file the original and return a file-stamped copy of the document to our office in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

Salup a. Center

Sally A.⁴Carter Environmental Bureau 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 (217) 782-9031

SAC/pp Enclosures

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

SEP 1 2 2003

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

ν.

PCB 01-167

VILLAGE OF ENFIELD, an Illinois municipal corporation,

Respondent.

NOTICE OF FILING

To: Village of Enfield Thomas J. Harbour, Village President 115 E. Main P.O. Box 99 Enfield, IL 62835

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date I mailed for filing with the Clerk of the Pollution

Control Board of the State of Illinois, a COMPLAINT, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING

REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT, a copy of which is

attached hereto and herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos Litigation Division

Calys Q. Cartor BY:

SALUY A. CARTER Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: September 9, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I did on September 9, 2003, send by First Class Mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, by depositing in a United States Post Office Box a true and correct copy of the following instruments entitled NOTICE OF FILING, COMPLAINT, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT:

To: Village of Enfield Thomas J. Harbour, Village President 115 E. Main, P.O. Box 99 Enfield, IL 62835

and the original and ten copies by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid of the

same foregoing instrument(s):

To: Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board State of Illinois Center Suite 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601

arta

Sally A. (Carter Assistant Attorney General

This filing is submitted on recycled paper.

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

v.

PCB NO. (Enforcement)

VILLAGE OF ENFIELD, an Illinois municipal corporation

Respondent.

<u>COMPLAINT</u>

The PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, at the request of the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, complains of Respondent, VILLAGE OF ENFIELD, as follows:

<u>COUNT I</u>

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT VIOLATIONS

1. This Complaint is brought on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois, by Lisa Madigan, the Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and at the request of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), pursuant to Section 31 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31 (2002).

2. The Illinois EPA is an agency of the State of Illinois created by the Illinois General Assembly in Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002), and which is charged, <u>inter alia</u>, with the duty of enforcing the Act.

3. The Respondent, Village of Enfield ("Enfield") is an Illinois municipal corporation providing water to approximately 900 people through approximately 364 direct service connections. The Village of Enfield public water supply is located in Enfield, White County,

Illinois. The Village of Enfield obtains water from the Rend Lake Intercities Water System via

the Hamilton County Water District.

4. Section 3.315 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS

5/3.315 (2002), provides:

"PERSON" is any individual, partnership, co-partnership, firm, company, corporation, association, joint stock company, trust, estate, political subdivision, state agency or any other legal entity or their legal representative, agent or assigns.

5. Section 15 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/15 (2002), provides as follows:

Owners of public water supplies, their authorized representative, or legal custodians, shall submit plans and specifications to the Agency and obtain written approval before construction of any proposed public water supply installations, changes or additions is started. Plans and specifications shall be complete and of sufficient detail to show all proposed construction, changes, or additions that may affect sanitary quality, mineral quality, or adequacy of the public water supply; and, where necessary, said plans and specifications shall be accompanied by supplemental data as may be required by the Agency to permit a complete review thereof.

6. Section 17(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/17(a) (2002), provides:

The Board may adopt regulations governing the location, design, construction, and continuous operation and maintenance of public water supply installations, changes or additions which may affect the continuous sanitary quality, mineral quality, or adequacy of the public water supply, pursuant to Title VII of this Act.

7. Section 602.101 of the Board Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 602.101, provides

in pertinent part:

a) No person shall cause or allow the construction of any new public water supply installation or cause or allow the change of or addition to any existing public water supply, without a construction permit issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (Agency). Public water supply installation, change, or addition shall not include routine maintenance, service pipe connections, hydrants and valves, or replacement of equipment, pipe and appurtenances with equivalent equipment, pipe, and appurtenances.

8. On May 15, 2000, the Illinois EPA received an anonymous telephone call

reporting the installation of an unpermitted water main on the south side of Illinois Route 14 in

the Village of Enfield. A review of the Illinois EPA files indicated that no permits had been issued to Enfield for any extensions to be made along Illinois Route 14.

9. On May 18, 2000, the Illinois EPA representative conducted an inspection in Enfield. The Illinois EPA inspector observed that approximately 2000 feet of water main had been installed on the south side of Illinois Route 14. There were still lengths of the pipe that were used in the extension laying at the end of the construction. The Illinois EPA inspector noted that there was no hydrant on the end of the watermain. The Illinois EPA inspector further observed a meter pit set on the north side of Route 14 and a "service line" was trenched to the house through the corn field.

10. At that time, Enfield's Superintendent of Utilities, Mr. John Smith, stated that the line was constructed without a permit to avoid the cost of hiring an engineer to prepare plans and specifications for submission to the Illinois EPA. Smith stated that Enfield had installed other water mains without obtaining Illinois EPA construction and operating permits, but did not reveal the number or location of those mains. Smith also requested bottles for sampling the water line, and the Illinois EPA inspector directed him to obtain sample bottles from the laboratory used by Enfield.

11. On June 7, 2000, the Illinois EPA issued a Violation Notice ("VN") to Enfield, citing the construction and operation of a water main without Illinois EPA construction and operating permits.

12. In a letter dated July 25, 2000, Enfield responded to the VN stating that it failed to apply for construction and operating permits for the subject water main because it was a "rush job."

13. The Illinois EPA responded in a letter dated August 10, 2000, stating that the Illinois EPA was considering referring this matter for enforcement. On September 27, 2000, the

Illinois EPA issued a Notice of Intent to Pursue Legal Action letter to Enfield for the violations contained in the Violation Notice.

14. The Illinois EPA held a teleconference with Enfield on October 25, 2000. During the teleconference, Enfield's Superintendent of Utilities admitted to constructing the water main and stated that Enfield would submit plans and specifications for the water mains that had been installed and operated without Illinois EPA permits.

15. On June 5, 2000, Brown, Roffmann & Roberts, Inc., Enfield's engineering firm, submitted plans and specifications for 3000 feet of water main installed on Route 14. The Illinois EPA issued As-Built Permit Number 2361-FY2000 on November 30, 2000.

16. On November 30, 2000, Enfield submitted plans and specifications ("Log. No. 2001-1233") for an additional 33,500 of water main that was constructed and operated on Route 14 without Illinois EPA permits, requesting that the Illinois EPA add that water main to Permit Number 2361-FY2000.

17. On December 21, 2000, the Illinois EPA sent a letter to Enfield's engineering firm, stating that additional water mains could not be added to Permit Number 2361-FY2000 and that the Illinois EPA would issue a separate As-Built Permit for the additional 33,500 feet of water main on Route 14 after Enfield submitted plan revisions and sample results for that portion of the water line. The Illinois EPA directed Enfield to sample for bacteriological contamination at all dead ends and at taps located every 2000 feet on the water line.

18. Enfield submitted the required revisions to the plans and specifications but failed to submit bacteriological sample results for the water main. Enfield continues to operate that 33,500-foot portion of the water main on Route 14 without an Illinois EPA permit.

19. By causing or allowing the construction of any new public water supply installation without a construction permit issued by the Illinois EPA, the Village of Enfield has violated 35 Ill. Adm. Code 602.101 and Section 15 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/15 (2002).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Complainant, People of the State of Illinois, respectfully requests that this Board grant the following relief:

A. Find that the Respondent has violated Section 15, of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/15 (2002) and 35 III. Adm. Code 602.101;

B. Order the Respondent to cease and desist from further violation of the Act and associated regulations;

C. Assess against the Respondent a monetary penalty of up to fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) for each violation and up to an additional ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day that the violation has continued;

D. Pursuant to Section 42(f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(f) (2002), award the Complainant its costs in this matter, including reasonable attorney's fees and expert witness costs; and

E. Grant such other and further relief as this Board deems appropriate.

COUNT II

OPERATION PERMIT VIOLATIONS BY THE VILLAGE OF ENFIELD

1. This Count is brought by the Attorney General on her own motion.

2-18. Complainant realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 2 through 18 of Count I as paragraphs 2 through 18 of this Count II.

19. Section 18(a)(3), 415 ILCS 5/18(a)(3) (2002), provides as follows:

No person shall:

(3) Construct, install or operate any public water supply without a permit granted by the Agency, or in violation of any condition imposed by such a permit.

20. Section 602.102 of the Board Regulations, 35 III. Adm. Code 602.102, which provides as follows:

Operating permits

No owner or operator of a public water supply shall cause or allow the use or operation of any new public water supply, or any new addition to an existing supply, for which a Construction Permit is required under this Part, without an Operating Permit issued by the Agency.

21. By causing or allowing the operation of any new public water supply installation

without an operating permit issued by the Illinois EPA, the Village of Enfield has violated 35 Ill.

Adm. Code 602.102 and Section 18(a)(3) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/18(a)(3) (2002).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Complainant, People of the State of Illinois, respectfully requests that this Board grant the following relief:

A. Find that the Respondent has violated Section 18(a)(3) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/18(a)(3) (2002), and 35 III. Adm. Code 602.102;

B. Order the Respondent to cease and desist from further violation of the Act and associated regulations;

C. Assess against the Respondent a monetary penalty of up to fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) for each violation and up to an additional ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day that the violation has continued;

D. Pursuant to Section 42(f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(f) (2002), award the Complainant its costs in this matter, including reasonable attorney's fees and expert witness costs; and

E. Grant such other and further relief as this Board deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos Litigation Division

BY:

THOMAS DAVIS, Chief Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau

Of Counsel

SALLY A. CARTER Assistant Attorney General 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: <u>9/09/03</u> enfieldcomplaint/common

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

SEP 1 2 2003

STATE OF ILLINOIS

Pollution Control Board

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

v.

PCB NO. 01-167 (Enforcement)

VILLAGE OF ENFIELD, an Illinois municipal corporation Respondent.

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

NOW COMES the Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and pursuant to subsection 32(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("the Act"), 415 ILCS 5/32(c)(2) (2002), moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("the Board") grant the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS and VILLAGE OF ENFIELD, relief from the hearing requirement in the above-captioned matter. In support of this motion, Complainant states as follows:

1. Simultaneously with the filing of this motion, the Complainant is filing a Complaint with the Board, alleging that the Respondent failed to obtain construction and operating permits for new water mains prior to construction and operation of said mains.

2. The People of the State of Illinois and the Respondent, Village of Enfield, have reached agreement on all outstanding issues in this matter.

3. This agreement is memorialized and presented to the Board in a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, filed contemporaneously with this motion.

4. The parties, the People of the State of Illinois and Village of Enfield agree that a hearing on the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement is not necessary, and request relief from such a hearing as provided pursuant to subsection 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002).

WHEREFORE, Complainant, People of the State of Illinois, hereby respectfully requests that the Board grant this Motion for Relief from the Hearing Requirement between Village of Enfield and the People of the State of Illinois, as set forth in subsection 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002).

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement Division

BY:

SALLYA. CARTER Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: 91903

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARDCLERK'S OFFICE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

SEP 1 2 2003 STATE OF ILLINOIS

Pollution Control Board

v.

PCB NO. 01-167 (Enforcement)

VILLAGE OF ENFIELD, an Illinois municipal corporation,

Respondent.

STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

NOW COMES the Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, at the request of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, and Respondent, Village of Enfield ("Enfield"), and hereby submit this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement. The parties agree that the statement of facts contained herein represents a fair summary of the evidence and testimony which would be introduced if a full hearing were held. The parties agree that this Settlement is a compromise of a disputed claim. The parties further stipulate that this statement of facts is made and agreed upon for the purposes of settlement only, and that neither the fact that a party has entered into this Stipulation and proposal for settlement, nor any of the facts stipulated herein, shall be introduced into evidence in this or any other proceeding except to enforce the terms hereof by the parties to this agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") order accepting same may be used as a matter of record in any future permitting or enforcement actions to be considered for purposes of Section 42(h) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2002). This

agreement shall be null and void unless the Board approves and disposes of this matter on each and every one of the terms and conditions of the Settlement set forth herein.

I.

JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Act, 415 ILCS 5/1 *et seq.* (2002).

II.

AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and to legally bind them to it.

III.

APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, Village of Enfield, and any officer, director, agent, employee or servant of Respondent, as well as the Respondent's successors and assigns. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Settlement the failure of its officers, directors, agents, servants, or employees to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Settlement.

IV.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA") is an

administrative agency established in the executive branch of the State government by Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002), and charged, *inter alia*, with the duty of enforcing the Act.

2. Respondent, Village of Enfield is an Illinois municipal corporation providing water to approximately 900 people through approximately 364 direct service connections. The Village of Enfield public water supply is located in Enfield, White County, Illinois. The Village of Enfield obtains water from the Rend Lake Intercities Water System via the Hamilton County Water District.

3. On May 15, 2000, the Illinois EPA received an anonymous telephone call reporting the installation of an unpermitted water main on the south side of Illinois Route 14 in the Village of Enfield. A review of the Illinois EPA files indicated that no permits had been issued to Enfield for any extensions to be made along Illinois Route 14.

4. On May 18, 2000, the Illinois EPA representative conducted an inspection in Enfield. The Illinois EPA inspector observed that approximately 2000 feet of water main had been installed on the south side of Illinois Route 14. There were still lengths of the pipe that were used in the extension laying at the end of the construction. The Illinois EPA inspector noted that there was no hydrant on the end of the watermain. The Illinois EPA inspector further observed a meter pit set on the north side of Route 14 and a "service line" was trenched to the house through the corn field.

5. At that time, Enfield's Superintendent of Utilities, Mr. John Smith, stated that the line was constructed without a permit to avoid the cost of hiring an engineer to prepare plans and specifications for submission to the Illinois EPA. Smith stated that Enfield had installed other water mains without obtaining Illinois EPA construction and operating permits, but did not

reveal the number or location of those mains. Smith also requested bottles for sampling the water line, and the Illinois EPA inspector directed him to obtain sample bottles from the laboratory used by Enfield.

6. On June 7, 2000, the Illinois EPA issued a Violation Notice ("VN") to Enfield, citing the construction and operation of a water main without Illinois EPA construction and operating permits.

7. In a letter dated July 25, 2000, Enfield responded to the VN stating that it failed to apply for construction and operating permits for the subject water main because it was a "rush job."

8. The Illinois EPA responded in a letter dated August 10, 2000, stating that the Illinois EPA was considering referring this matter for enforcement. On September 27, 2000, the Illinois EPA issued a Notice of Intent to Pursue Legal Action letter to Enfield for the violations contained in the Violation Notice.

9. The Illinois EPA held a teleconference with Enfield on October 25, 2000. During the teleconference, Enfield's Superintendent of Utilities admitted to constructing the water main and stated that Enfield would submit plans and specifications for the water mains that had been installed and operated without Illinois EPA permits.

10. On June 5, 2000, Brown, Roffmann & Roberts, Inc., Enfield's engineering firm, submitted plans and specifications for 3000 feet of water main installed on Route 14. The Illinois EPA issued As-Built Permit Number 2361-FY2000 on November 30, 2000.

11. On November 30, 2000, Enfield submitted plans and specifications ("Log. No.2001-1233") for an additional 33,500 of water main that was constructed and operated on Route

CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(H) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2002), provides:

* * *

- h. in determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under subdivisions (a), (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), or (b)(5) of this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:
 - 1. the duration and gravity of violation;
 - 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the violator in attempting to comply with the requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
 - 3. any economic benefits accrued by the violator because of delay in compliance with requirements;
 - 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the violator and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the violator and other persons similarly subject to the Act; and
 - 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the violator.

* * *

In response to these factors, the parties state as follows:

1. The Respondent caused or allowed the construction of any new public water supply installation without a construction permit issued by the Illinois EPA and caused or allowed the operation of any new public water supply installation without an operating permit issued by the Illinois EPA since at least May 15, 2000, and continued through at least May 21, 2003, and other dates known by Respondent.

2. The Respondent attained the required As-Built Permit for the 33,500 potion of the

water main installation on Route 14 on July 28, 2003.

3. The economic benefit accrued by the Respondent's noncompliance is the savings realized by causing or allowing the construction and operation of the public water main installation without a permit issued by the Illinois EPA.

4. The Plaintiff had determined, in this instance, that a settlement of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) will serve to deter violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and applicable regulations.

5. There are not previously adjudicated violations of the Act by the Respondent.

IX.

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

A. The Respondent admits violations of Sections 15 and 18(a)(3) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/15 and18(a)(3) of the Act (2002), 35 Ill. Adm. Code 602.101 and 602.102, and any and all other statutory or regulatory provisions of Illinois law.

B. The Respondent shall pay a settlement of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) into the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund within thirty (30) days from the date on which the Pollution Control Board adopts a final order approving this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement. Payment shall be made by certified check or money order, payable to the Treasurer of the State of Illinois, designated to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund, and shall be sent by first class mail to:

> Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Respondent's Federal Employer Identification Number ("FEIN") shall be written upon the certified check or money order. Respondent's FEIN is: <u>37-0840479</u>.

A copy of the payment transmittal and check shall be simultaneously submitted to:

Office of the Attorney General Environmental Bureau 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706

C. Respondent shall comply with 15 and 18(a)(3) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/15 and18(a)(3) of the Act (2002), 35 Ill. Adm. Code 602.101 and 602.102 and shall cease and desist from any violations of other federal, state or local environmental statutes and regulations, including the Act and the Board Rules and Regulations.

X.

COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement in no way affects the responsibility of Respondent to comply with any federal, state, or local regulations, including but not limited to the Act, 415 ILCS 5/1 *et seq.* (2002), and the Board's Rules and Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the

foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

BY:

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos Litigation Division

DATED: 9/06/03

DATED: 8/26/03

DATED: <u>9-5-200</u>3

THOMAS DAVIS, Chief Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

abada פחש BY JOSEPH E. SVOBODA

Chief Legal Counsel Division of Legal Counsel

VILLAGE OF ENFIELD Alla BY: RBOUR Mayor